

**Doctoral Dissertation defended at Stockholm School of Economics, spring term 2010:  
Maria Nelson, *Company Migration – an Analysis in View of International Tax Law and  
EU Law*, Stockholm, 2010, MercurIUS Förlags AB, 893 pages**

## **Table of Contents**

Preface

List of abbreviations

### **1 Introduction**

1.1 Opening

1.2 Aim

1.3 Background

1.3.1 Actual Seat, Fiscal Management and the Freedom of Establishment

1.3.2 Material Starting Point and State of Research

1.4 Method and Material

1.4.1 The Use of the OECD Model and its Commentary

1.4.2 The Application of EU Law

1.4.3 The Use of Foreign Law

1.4.4 Language of Sources

1.5 The Notions and Expressions Used in the Thesis

1.5.1 References to Treaties

1.5.2 Some Translation Issues

1.5.3 Other Expressions and Notions

1.5.4 Especially on the Prohibition of Restrictions

1.6 Further Delimitations

1.7 Outline

### **2 Management Criteria Establishing Residence**

2.1 Opening

2.2 The Management Criterion As Applied in British Law

2.2.1 The Attorney General v. Alexander and Others

2.2.2 The Calcutta Jute Mills Company and Cesena Sulphur Company

2.2.2.1 The Calcutta Jute Mills Company

2.2.2.2 The Cesena Sulphur Company

2.2.3 The Imperial Continental Gas Association

2.2.4 De Beers Consolidated Mines

2.2.5 Swedish Central Railway Company v. Thompson

2.2.6 Egyptian Delta Land Investment Company, Limited v. Todd

2.2.7 Unit Construction

2.3 The Management Criterion As Applied in German law

2.4 Closer on the Management Assessments

2.5 Summary

### **3 Residence According to the OECD Model**

3.1 Opening

3.2 The Subjective Application Scope of Tax Treaties

3.3 Article 4(1)

3.3.1 The Connecting Factors

- 3.3.1.1 Domicile
- 3.3.1.2 Residence
- 3.3.1.3 Place of Management
- 3.3.1.4 Any Other Criterion of Similar Nature
  - 3.3.1.4.1 Fiscal Allegiance
  - 3.3.1.4.2 Formal and Place Related Connecting Factors
    - 3.3.1.4.2.1 Closer on the Place of Incorporation and the Incorporation
- 3.3.1.5 Summary
- 3.3.2 The Relation of the Connecting Factors to the Other Requirements
  - 3.3.2.1 The Extent of the Tax Liability
  - 3.3.2.2 Unlimited Tax Liability According to the First Sentence due to the Second Sentence?
  - 3.3.2.3 Closer on the Relation of the Tax Liability to the Connecting Factors
  - 3.3.2.4 Actual Taxation
  - 3.3.2.5 Summary
- 3.3.3 Summary and Conclusion in View of a Regulation Such As the Swedish One
- 3.4 Article 4(3)
  - 3.4.1 The Development of Article 4(3)
    - 3.4.1.1 Head Office and Management
    - 3.4.1.2 Impact of the Communications Revolution
      - 3.4.1.2.1 The Technical Development and the Criterion Effective Management
  - 3.4.2 Closer on the Criterion Effective Management
    - 3.4.2.1 The Decisions
    - 3.4.2.2 The Place of Management
    - 3.4.2.3 Analogy to Article 4(2)
  - 3.4.3 The Application of the Criterion Effective Management in Four Cases
    - 3.4.3.1 The Directors's Meetings
    - 3.4.3.2 Parent Company's Involvement
    - 3.4.3.3 The Peripatetic Management
    - 3.4.3.4 The One-Person Company
  - 3.4.4 Similarities between the Place of Effective Management Assessment and Internal Management Assessments
    - 3.4.4.1 The British Management Criterion
    - 3.4.4.2 The German Management Criterion
  - 3.4.5 Application of Internal Law in the Assessment of the Place of Effective Management
    - 3.4.5.1 The Criterion Effective Management in Light of Article 3 and Swedish Law
      - 3.4.5.1.1. Short on the Luxembourg Paragraph
      - 3.4.5.1.2 The Interpretation of the Criterion Effective Management According to Article 3
  - 3.4.6 The Suggestions to Changes of the Tie Breaker
    - 3.4.6.1 Refinement of the Place of Effective Management Concept
    - 3.4.6.2 Hierarchy of Tests
      - 3.4.6.2.1 The Commentary on the Hierarchy of Tests
    - 3.4.6.3 The Possible Wordings
  - 3.4.7 The 2008 Changes of the Commentary to the Tie Breaker
  - 3.4.8 The Meaning of the Second Sentence on the Notion of Residence When Several Tax Treaties Are Applicable
  - 3.4.9 Summary

## **4 Exit taxation**

- 4.1 Opening
- 4.2 Withdrawal Taxation and the Management Transfer
- 4.3 Withdrawal Taxation According to the Exit Tax Rule
- 4.4 Is the Method of Avoidance of Double Taxation of Importance?
- 4.5 Immediate Reversal of the Deferral Funds
- 4.6 The Malta Judgement
- 4.7 Taxation in Some Possible Migration Situations
- 4.8 The Applicability of Tax Treaties to Exit Taxes
- 4.9 Exit Taxes in the OECD Model
- 4.10 Taxation on Assets Abroad
- 4.11 The Point of Time for the Division of the Taxing Powers
- 4.12 Double Taxation
- 4.13 Swedish Taxing Rights after a Change of Residence
- 4.14 Reversal of Deferral Funds
- 4.15 Summary

## **5 EU Law Aspects of the Migration**

- 5.1 Opening
  - 5.1.1 The Freedoms at Issue
  - 5.1.2 Outline
- 5.2 Article 43
  - 5.2.1 Closer on Its Meaning to Companies
  - 5.2.2 On the Applicability of the Rulings on Individuals
  - 5.2.3 Tax Issues
    - 5.2.3.1 On the Relation of EU Law to the OECD Model
      - 5.2.3.1.1 Example on the Role of Tax Treaties: Renneberg
      - 5.2.3.1.2 Closer on Tax Treaty Issues
  - 5.2.4 Summary
- 5.3 The Possibility for the Member States To Tax Companies on Migration
  - 5.3.1 The Decisions of the Commission
  - 5.3.2 Reversal of Deferral Funds
  - 5.3.3 The Withdrawal Taxation
  - 5.3.4 The Regulation on Postponed Taxation and on Reversal of Deferral Funds
  - 5.3.5 Taxation on Migration
    - 5.3.5.1 Did Daily Mail Deal with Tax Law or Company Law?
    - 5.3.5.2 Do Companies Have a General Right to Change Residence within the EU?
  - 5.3.6 Negative Integration in Harm for the Internal Market?
  - 5.3.7 Summary
- 5.4 Closer on the Application of the Freedom of Establishment
  - 5.4.1 Illustration of the General Approach
  - 5.4.2 Some Additional Issues
    - 5.4.2.1 The Objects of Comparison
    - 5.4.2.2 The Migration
    - 5.4.2.3 The Treaty Protection of Change of Residence
      - 5.4.2.3.1 Internal situation?
      - 5.4.2.3.2 Establishment?
    - 5.4.2.4 Decision on Establishment
    - 5.4.2.5 Residence

- 5.4.2.5.1 The Residence of a Company Is in Another Member State than the One of Its Seat
- 5.4.2.5.2 Commerzbank
  - 5.4.2.5.2.1 Commerzbank in Light of Avoir Fiscal
- 5.4.2.5.3 Justifications and the Nationality and the Residence
- 5.4.2.5.4 Difference in Treatment due to Residence
- 5.4.2.6 The Extent
- 5.4.3 Summary
- 5.5 Effective Management and Exit taxation
  - 5.5.1 The Meaning of Management Transfer from an Establishment Perspective
    - 5.5.1.1. Primary and Secondary Establishment
    - 5.5.1.2 Management
    - 5.5.1.3 Emigration and Immigration
  - 5.5.2 The Meaning of Establishment
    - 5.5.2.1 Establishment in Light of Its Relation to Services
      - 5.5.2.1.1.2 Establishment in Light of Baars
  - 5.5.3 Establishment Put in Question in Daily Mail
  - 5.5.4 The Connection between the Complex of Issues
  - 5.5.5. Closer on the Place of Management in Light of Establishment
    - 5.5.5.1 No Requirement that the Management Is in the State in which the Secondary Establishment Has Been Set Up
    - 5.5.5.2 Residence Management Criteria and Establishment
    - 5.5.5.3 Branch
    - 5.5.5.4 Permanent Establishment
    - 5.5.5.5 Closer on the Management Transfer
    - 5.5.5.6 Summary
  - 5.5.6 The Residence of Companies and their Nationality
  - 5.5.7 The Recipient State's Treatment of a Foreign Company Resident in the Recipient State
  - 5.5.8 Other Possible Assessments of the Exit Taxation
    - 5.5.8.1 Exit Taxation Allowed According to Daily Mail
    - 5.5.8.2 Consequence of there being no General Right to Change of Residence
    - 5.5.8.3 Paradox
    - 5.5.8.5 Different Treatment of Domestic and Foreign Establishments
    - 5.5.8.6 Impact of de Lasteyrie and N
    - 5.5.8.7 The Current State of Community Law
    - 5.5.8.8. The Importance of the Registration Principle
  - 5.5.9 Cartesio

## 6. Summary

## 7 English Summary

## Bibliography